
	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 1 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

Table of Contents

Floating Offshore Platform Experiments2 1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE.....2 2. PROCEDURE FOR FLOATING OFFSHORE PLATFORM EXPERIMENTS.....2 2.1 Test Agenda and Run Matrix.....2 2.2 Model Geometry2 2.3 Ballasting and Loading2 2.4 Instrumentation3 2.5 Calibration of Environment3 2.5.1 Calibration of Current3 2.5.2 Calibration of Waves3 2.5.3 Calibration of the Mean Wind Force3 2.6 Positioning of the Model4 2.6.1 Installation and static load-deflection curve of the mooring system4 2.7 Collection of Data5 2.8 Data Analysis5 2.9 Presentation of Results.....6 2.10 Pre-Test Considerations.....6 2.10.1 Sign Convention6 2.10.2 Instrumentation Sign Check6 2.10.3 Calm Water Acquisition6 2.10.4 Channel Priority7 2.10.5 Transducer Re-zeroing7 2.10.6 Shakedown Run / Online Analysis7 2.10.7 Acquisition from calm water7	3. PARAMETERS.....7 3.1 Parameters to be Taken into Account.....7 3.2 Recommendations of ITTC for Parameters7 3.2.1 Test Conditions.8 3.2.2 Model Dimensions.8 3.2.3 Basin Dimensions.....8 3.2.4 Wave Calibration.....8 3.2.5 Wave Periods and Wave Heights.8 3.2.6 Wave Headings.8 3.2.7 Current Calibration.....9 3.2.8 Wind Calibration.9 3.2.9 Mooring Calibration.....9 3.2.10 Method of Restraint.....9 3.2.11 Drift Force.....9 3.2.12 Measuring Equipment.10 3.2.13 Test Duration.....10 3.2.14 Number of Repeat Runs.10 3.2.15 Accuracy of the Different Gauges.10 3.2.16 Presentation of Results.....10 4. RARELY OCCURRING EVENTS...11 4.1 Definition.....11 4.2 Environment11 5. VALIDATION11 5.1 Uncertainty Analysis11 5.2 Benchmark Tests11
--	--

Edited 2	Approved
24 th ITTC Ocean Engineering Committee	24 th ITTC 2005
Date 2005	Date 2005

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 2 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

Floating Offshore Platform Experiments

1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

To ensure that floating offshore platform experiments are performed according to the state of the art.

2. PROCEDURE FOR FLOATING OFFSHORE PLATFORM EXPERIMENTS

Sea keeping tests of floating offshore platforms use techniques, methodology, and standards from other ITTC Loads and Response procedures. Offshore platforms are subject to wave, current, and wind in terms of environmental conditions. In addition to prediction of long term statistics, often extreme events are modelled to ascertain survivability characteristics. The offshore platform could be moored or dynamically positioned. It can be tested in an operational, survival, or transit configuration.

2.1 Test Agenda and Run Matrix.

Before planning the tests, a statement of the test objectives and a test run matrix are required. Judicious use of computations can help to reduce the extent of the test run matrix.

2.2 Model Geometry


A geometrically similar model of a full-scale design is constructed to a scale at which

the tests can be performed according to Froude's similarity law. Small details can be neglected, as long as there is minimal impact on the physical phenomenon measured. Appendages need to be included. Often, the scale ratio is based on basin depth and amount of mooring system that can be fitted into the basin without significantly truncating the mooring lines. Full length line models are preferred but if basin dimensions and model scale require truncation, this should be done according to ITTC procedure 7.5-02-07-03.4.

2.3 Ballasting and Loading

The model should be ballasted to the proper waterline, including trim and heel, and also match the centre of gravity, and radii of gyration of roll, pitch, and yaw. The moments of gyration can be modelled by varying the mass distributions. If structural loads are measured, the actual full-scale mass distribution needs to be modelled.

The model moments of inertia and gyration can be determined by direct measurement or by calculation. Oscillating the model in air directly measures the moments of inertia and gyration. If full-scale natural periods in water are specified, the moments of inertia of solid mass can be obtained using the theoretical added mass. Whenever possible, using the theoretical added mass to compute natural periods could be verified by in-the-water testing of the model.

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 3 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

2.4 Instrumentation

The instrumentation required to measure the responses should be installed on the model. This may include the measurement of rigid body motion, air gap, impact pressures, accelerations, and mooring line tensions. The instrumentation is considered as part of the ballast and needs to be in place when determining the centre of gravity and moments of inertia.

Also other instrumentation, e.g., wave probes, not associated with the model should be installed in the tank at this time.

All instrumentation should be in proper working order and calibrated. The location and orientation of all instrumentation should be documented.

2.5 Calibration of Environment

The environment needs to be calibrated prior to the test to ensure the correct environment is going to be tested. The environment is a combination of waves, current, and wind, depending on facility capability.

2.5.1 Calibration of Current

At the projected location of an offshore platform, a homogeneous current has to be calibrated over the full width or a sufficiently large part of the width of the basin.

The uniform current velocity should be measured at a depth corresponding to half of the draft of the fully loaded offshore platform

and at sufficient number of locations abreast of the projected location of the model.

At the projected location of the offshore platform, a current distribution over the vertical may be measured.

2.5.2 Calibration of Waves

For the calibration of long-crested waves a minimum of two wave probes should be installed. One placed at the projected offshore platform location, and removed during the actual testing; another one placed abreast of the former one in order to be used for the wave phasing with regard to the measured signals.

The wave spectrum should be calibrated in the presence of the adjusted current for a duration corresponding to the test duration.


The target of the wave calibration is the spectral shape as provided by the client. Alternatively, acceptance criteria can be percentage deviation from target significant wave height and peak period from spectral and zero crossing analysis.

Documentation of additional characteristics should be made for at least:

- Wave height distribution
- Wave elevation distribution
- Spectral shape of the wave grouping
- Wave grouping distribution

2.5.3 Calibration of the Mean Wind Force

Usually with batteries of fans in place in the basin, a homogeneous wind velocity over a

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 4 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

sufficient large area of the test set-up is adjusted. The nominal wind velocity at the projected location of the offshore platform should be measured and adjusted at a level of 10 m (full-scale) above the water level by means of a propeller-anemometer for instance.

With the installed model in the basin, the fans should be run for at least a half an hour full-scale while the mean force is measured. Measuring the mean horizontal forces and the vertical moment on the model may require a separate jig or stand. The measured force is compared with the target wind force. If necessary, the RPM of the fans are adjusted to obtain the specified mean wind force without overloading the fan.

2.6 Positioning of the Model

The model should be positioned at the test location, which should be an area where minimum wave reflection and distortion prevails. All mooring and restraint lines should have been calibrated and installed by this time. Restraint lines should be soft, elastic lines that allow motion, but restrain excessive drifting. The spring stiffness of the restraint lines should be based on natural period considerations. The restraint system's natural period should be one order of magnitude greater than the lowest wave period and model natural periods.

The power/data umbilical should be installed at this time and impose the minimum restraint on the motions of the model.


2.6.1 Installation and static load-deflection curve of the mooring system

Each individual line should be free from possible coil in the mooring leg before being laid out. Additionally, there should be no possible plastic deformation of the spring and in the model chain(s) under expected loading.

To verify individual mooring line load-displacement curve, each line will be pulled out in the basin and connected to the exact location of the anchor point. Then by means of a dummy platform for each individual line the chain force versus the horizontal displacement of the "platform" in the direction of the line has to be determined and compared with the theoretical one (static load-displacement curve for the individual lines) and documented. Normally the (small ring-shaped) force transducer in the chain is incorporated in the top end of the line close to the platform attachment point. Alternatively, underwater load cells at the anchor points could be used.

After all lines have been adjusted, they will be connected to the offshore platform during the positioning of the model in the basin. Then the pretensions are measured and documented.

Finally the static load-deflection curve of the total system has to be measured (assuming a symmetrical system). For these measurements the model will be horizontally shifted in a stepwise manner. During the static load-displacement measurements the individual chain forces, the horizontal force as measured by the transducer incorporated in the platform and displacements (as measured with a model tracking system) will be recorded. The model

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 5 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

will be shifted a distance that corresponds to the breaking load of the heaviest loaded line. The measured and theoretical results will be documented.

From this extreme position of the platform, the pulling force will be stepwise reduced and the same signals as before will be measured. This static load-displacement curve may show possible hysteresis effects in the mooring legs. The results should be documented.

Additionally, decay tests should be made on the platform with and without mooring to determine natural frequencies. An inclining experiment should be performed to measure metacentric height. The results should be documented.

2.7 Collection of Data

Once the model is ballasted, instrumented, calibrated, and in position, the test matrix can be carried out. The data should be collected digitally whenever possible. The sample rate should be high enough to capture the physical phenomenon being measured, e.g., 20 Hz is inadequate for impact loads, but acceptable for pitch. The sample rate should be at least twice the highest frequency of interest. Generally, the data are low pass or band pass hardware filtered. The cut off frequencies should not eliminate desired data. The sample rate should be consistent with the hardware filters to avoid step functions in the data.

Furthermore, the test runs must be long enough to collect a statistically valid sample. Typically, one to three hours full-scale irregu-

lar seas is an acceptable duration limits, see section 3.2.13. Repeated runs are suggested.


2.8 Data Analysis

In determining the motions for regular wave analysis, the average amplitude and period of at least 10 cycles should be obtained. Alternatively, a spectral analysis following the procedures outlined below for irregular waves could be followed to obtain the amplitude and period characteristics of waves and responses. See Procedure 7.5-02-07-03.2 Analysis Procedure for Model Tests in Regular Wave.

Energy spectra of waves and relevant responses should be produced through spectral analysis using either the indirect method of Fourier transformation of the autocorrelation function, or the direct method of splitting the record into suitable blocks and subjecting these to Fast Fourier Transform.

In addition to the spectral analysis, statistical analysis should be performed to calculate the mean, maximum, minimum, and the mean of the 1/3 highest values. Techniques utilised to smoothen spectral shapes, such as block overlapping, should be documented in the presentation of the results. When reporting statistics of wetness the number of events and number of encounters should be reported independently, as well as the overall statistics.

Analysis procedures should be thoroughly documented to ensure reproducibility

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 6 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

2.9 Presentation of Results

For engineering applications, results can be presented in dimensional form. In order to check results and compare with databases, it is recommended that responses be presented in a non-dimensional form. Linear motions may be divided by wave amplitude, and angular motions by wave slope. Phase angles should be given in degrees and increases in resistance and propulsion parameters may be presented in non-dimensional forms defined in Reference 1. Accelerations should be made non-dimensional by $L/(g\zeta_a)$, where L is the characteristic length. The results should be plotted to a base of $\omega(L/g)^{1/2}$ or $\omega_e(L/g)^{1/2}$.

For tests in irregular waves, the energy spectra of wave and motions should be shown plotted against $\omega_e(L/g)^{1/2}$ or $\omega(L/g)^{1/2}$.

The results of statistical analyses should be presented in histograms to depict probability density, and as cumulative probability distribution plots for selected responses.

Tabular presentation of all results should be made in addition to plots. Tabular data should also include statistical data maximum, minimum, mean, standard deviation, and mean 1/3 highest values for each channel for each run.

2.10 Pre-Test Considerations

2.10.1 Sign Convention

In consultation with the client, sign conventions will be established for the basin, model, and appropriate instrumentation. These will be

documented graphically and in writing. In the absence of specific instruction, a right-hand-rule convention will be used.


For the model, the origin will be located at the centre of gravity, with positive z upwards, and positive x aligned with the major axis of the model, with the zero degree wave heading in-line with the wave direction (180 degrees as head waves). The vertical origin could also be chosen at the calm water line. It is essential that the actual choice is documented.

2.10.2 Instrumentation Sign Check

Following the model and instrumentation installation, and prior to experiment commencement, the performance and sign convention of all transducers and gauges will be confirmed by applying a known load or displacement. The process will be recorded through the data acquisition and stored for quality assurance purposes. The measured result will be compared to the applied quantity. This will indicate whether the transducer/gauge is functioning according to the calibration, and conforming to the defined sign convention. Adjustments can be made to the non-conforming devices, prior to testing, or corrections can be applied during processing.

2.10.3 Calm Water Acquisition

Prior to running a wave test, a data acquisition should be done in calm water measuring all channels. This will provide a record of all pre-experiment transducer “zero” levels, and may be useful in identifying electronic drift later in the experiment. It will serve as an addi-

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 7 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

tional transducer check, and provide a record of basin standing wave conditions. Additional calm water runs can be acquired throughout the experiment.

2.10.4 Channel Priority

In consultation with the client, the instrumentation will be prioritized. This will aid test staff, in the event of a gauge malfunction, to decide when best to make repairs.

2.10.5 Transducer Re-zeroing

Instrumentation that require re-zeroing will be identified(, in consultation with the client). These will be re-zeroed prior to testing each day.

2.10.6 Shakedown Run / Online Analysis

Prior to commencing the test programme, a sample wave will be run to ensure the performance of the floating structure, mooring, and instrumentation. The measurements will be used to fine-tune the online analysis command procedure. The online analysis will consist of time trace plots of all measured channels along with basic statistics for each. The statistics will include mean, maximum, minimum, rms, and standard deviation. The online analysis should be performed and checked following each test run. This is to ensure that instrumentation is working and providing reasonable readings.

2.10.7 Acquisition from calm water

When the test program commences, it is important that the data acquisition for each run starts from calm water. This will serve as an additional check on the performance of instrumentation, and will ensure that indication of zero drift is occurring.

3. PARAMETERS


3.1 Parameters to be Taken into Account

The following parameters should be taken into account:

- test conditions
- model dimensions
- basin dimensions
- wave calibration
- wave periods and heights
- wave headings
- current calibration
- wind calibration
- mooring calibration
- method of restraint
- drift forces
- measuring equipment
- test duration
- number of repeat runs
- accuracy of the different gauges
- presentation of results

3.2 Recommendations of ITTC for Parameters

In order to obtain reliable results from offshore platform experiments, the test procedures

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 8 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

have to be followed carefully. The following points are recommended to ensure the accuracy of the experiments.

3.2.1 Test Conditions.

Tests should be carried out in environments appropriate to the sea conditions in which the platform may be required to operate. In the absence of specific wave spectrum data, an ITTC spectrum should be used.

A sufficient number of tests should be carried out to provide adequate data for a minimum range of wavelengths from $0.5L_M$ to $2.0L_M$ and ensure good resolution of resonant areas.

3.2.2 Model Dimensions.

The scale of the model should be as large as is practical. Offshore model testing does not have wall interference in the traditional sense. Large models can generate reflections that contaminate the incoming wave. Beach reflections and model radiation contaminate of the incoming wave as well. Such contamination is generally a larger problem for regular wave testing, although it should also be kept in mind for irregular wave problems.

3.2.3 Basin Dimensions.

A wide test area is needed to avoid reflection between the model and basin walls. Scaling of the water depth is important in many cases due to hydrodynamic effects and for correct modelling of load-exursion characteristics

of compliant platform motions in the horizontal plane.

3.2.4 Wave Calibration.


The wave height (spectrum) has to be measured at the location of the offshore structure model before it is installed to ensure the accuracy of the generated waves. Other probes, up wave and abreast the model during testing prove measurements of phasing and spatial variation as well as elevation. The repeatability of the generated waves should be checked, and documentation on wave calibration should be prepared.

3.2.5 Wave Periods and Wave Heights.

In order to obtain a complete representation of the motion response amplitudes in the frequency domain, one may need to carry out as many as 20 runs depending on the purpose of the tests. The behaviour of offshore structures in waves is in general affected by non-linear phenomena. The response of non-linear systems is dependent on the wave height and therefore it is recommended that such systems should be checked for a number of wave heights at selected wave periods, including the natural rigid-body periods.

3.2.6 Wave Headings.

When performing tests in oblique seas, the range of angles of encounter between 0 and 360 degrees should be selected appropriately in accordance with the stated test objectives. Smaller ranges of angle of encounter are appropriate for models with planes of symmetry.

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 9 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

3.2.7 Current Calibration.

The magnitude of the current and its direction at various depths has to be measured at the location of the offshore structure model. This should be done before the model is installed to ensure the accuracy of the generated current. The repeatability of the generated current should be checked, and documentation on current calibration should be prepared. Spectral shape or standard deviation should be verified as well.

3.2.8 Wind Calibration.

The wind field magnitude and spectral content has to be measured at the location of the offshore structure model before it is installed to ensure the accuracy of the wind. This is done by a direct load/moment measurement using the model at the mean wind speed. The gust spectrum is the variability about the mean wind speed based on load measurements. The repeatability of the generated wind should be checked, and documentation on wind calibration should be prepared.

3.2.9 Mooring Calibration.

It is generally desirable to model the non-linear behaviour of the mooring system. This can be done using a scaled model of the mooring system or reproducing the mooring system load deflection curve. Whatever the approach, the mooring system and load deflection curve should be fully documented.

3.2.10 Method of Restraint.

In many cases, soft mooring lines adequately model the restraint conditions. However, depending on the purpose of the tests, where space and depth permit, it is generally preferred to utilise realistic restraints that possess the correct non-linear characteristics of the mooring lines.


Safety lines are prudent to prevent the model from breaking other lines in large seas. They should be left slack during runs and only pulled taut when the model is in danger. Transducers should be present in the safety lines to mark “taut” events.

3.2.11 Drift Force.

Drift forces result from cumulative wave action on a body as mean forces and moments and as second order slowly varying forces and moments. During a test, drift forces and moments are measured by the thruster forces or tensions on mooring lines (scaled system or soft restraint).

The main consideration is making runs of long duration to collect enough data to properly analyze this long period response. Additionally, data affected by transient behaviour should be discarded from steady state analysis.

While constant heading is desired for theoretical calculation validation, the equilibrium heading angle is often desired information as well. As such the restraining mooring and safety lines need to allow yaw rotation to the equilibrium heading. This may require re-arranging the restraint configuration.

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 10 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

Wave groupiness parameters should be measured and documented as they can have a large influence on slow drift oscillations. Also wave groupiness characteristics can be a function of the wave maker.

3.2.12 Measuring Equipment.

Generally, all six degrees of motion are recorded as well as mooring forces, accelerations, relative motions and structural loads. Particular care has to be taken when models are tested in their natural frequency range and measurements are made with mechanical connectors. In the case of such measurements, the use of non-contact measuring systems is preferable.

Development of systems that reduce or eliminate cable connections between instruments on the model and the recording system is encouraged.

Wave probes for measuring air gap should be able to withstand occasional splashing. Additionally, they should maintain signal in steep and breaking waves.

Tests should be recorded visually, either by film or video, preferably in a way allowing scaling of time. Photographs of the test set up are also helpful for data analysis.

3.2.13 Test Duration.

A run length of about 10 cycles is normally sufficient for determining first-order motion transfer functions, while drift force measurements require much longer run length due to transients.

For irregular seas runs, a run length of 20-30 minutes full-scale is generally sufficient to collect a statistically valid sample. Longer times are required when measuring rarely occurring events. Three hours full-scale is an industry standard for extremes.

3.2.14 Number of Repeat Runs.

To demonstrate the repeatability of the testing techniques selected frequencies should be repeated non-sequentially. Repeat runs are desired subject to cost and schedule constraints.

3.2.15 Accuracy of the Different Gauges.

Typically, different gauges have different accuracy and that accuracy is proportional to price. An uncertainty analysis will indicate which gauges would be cost effective to upgrade to improve overall accuracy most.


3.2.16 Presentation of Results.

When presenting results from measurements the accuracy of the different gauges should be stated and the calibration procedures should be described.

Care has to be taken to demonstrate the problems associated with transient phenomena either during the tests or during the analysis.

When presenting the results the sign of the phase angle is defined by the requirement that the response shall be expressed by

$$x(t) \approx \mu + \sum_{j=1}^M A_j \cos(j\omega_e t + \varphi_j)$$

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 11 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments	Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

i.e. response lagging behind lead signal gives negative phase angle.

4. RARELY OCCURRING EVENTS

Rarely occurring events are those low probability events or phenomena that have design implications, e.g., the 100 year storm. The structure should be able to survive such events and it is important to know the platform response and loading. See Procedure 7.5-02-07-02.3 Experiments on Rarely Occurring Events.

4.1 Definition

Each rarely occurring event has an associated probability of occurrence. This probability is different and determined differently depending on the phenomenon causing the event. Still a response level can be formulated in terms of an exposure time and probability of exceedence. Often the platform is designed such that the probability of exceedence is at a given risk level. This risk level determines the severity of the environment in which to test.

4.2 Environment

The testing environment, wind, waves, and current, need to be able to produce the phenomenon in question, e.g., wave slap. Important non-linearities and interactions need to be included.

Also because the events are rarely occurring, more data may need to be collected to record the event. Longer collection times ensure a statistically valid data set. The use of a deterministic “largest wave” time history should be

investigated carefully as it may miss possible resonant behaviour.

5. VALIDATION

5.1 Uncertainty Analysis

ITTC Procedure 7.5-02-07-02.1, Seakeeping Experiments, has an extensive uncertainty analysis section. The principles there can be applied to any response, not just heave and pitch as in the example. Reference 4 presents an uncertainty methodology that is useful.


5.2 Benchmark Tests

Estimation of Ship Behaviour at Sea from Limited Observation (11th 1966 pp.426-428)

Spry, S.C., Empey, D.M., and Webster, W.C., "Design and Characterization of a Small-Scale Azimuthing Thruster for MOB Module", (Proc. 3rd Intl. Workshop on Very Large Floating Structures – Vol II Sep 22-24, 1999)

Smith, T.C., Sikora, J., and Atwell, J. "Mobile Offshore Base Model Test Design Philosophy" (Proc. 3rd Intl. Workshop on Very Large Floating Structures – Vol. II Sep 22-24, 1999)

Richardson, W.M. "Uncertainty Analysis for the Mobile Offshore Base Model Test" (Proc. 3rd Intl. Workshop on Very Large Floating Structures – Vol. II Sep 22-24, 1999)

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines		7.5 - 02 07 – 03.1 Page 12 of 12	
	Testing and Extrapolation Methods Loads and Responses, Ocean Engineering Floating Offshore Platform Experiments		Effective Date 2005	Revision 01

Rodd, J.L., Devine, E.A., and Bruchman, D.D., "Physical Model Design for a MOB Hydroelastic Test" (Proc. 3rd Intl. Workshop on Very Large Floating Structures – Vol. II Sep 22-24, 1999)

Stahl, R. G., "Ship Model Size Selection, Facilities, and Notes on Experimental Tech-

niques", (CRDKNSWC/HD-1448-01 May 1995)

Buchner, B., "Numerical Simulation and Model Test Requirements for Deep Water Developments", (Deep and Ultra Deep Water Offshore Technology Conference, Univ. of Newcastle, March 1999)